UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

NU	RM	AN	PO	YL)KA	S,	JR.,

DI	•		0
νı	ain	t1t	t
11	am	ш	Ι,

Case No. 09-11435 Hon. Lawrence P. Zatkoff

v.

ONE WEST BANK,

Defendant.	

ORDER

AT A SESSION of said Court, held in the United States Courthouse, in the City of Port Huron, State of Michigan, on May 20, 2009

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE LAWRENCE P. ZATKOFF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, filed this case on April 16, 2009, alleging violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*, and the Truth in Lending Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1601 *et seq.* On May 18, 2009, Plaintiff filed a "motion for injunctive releif [sic] and temporary restraining order prusuant [sic] to MCR 3.310(B)(1)(a)(b)(c)" [dkt 5]. In his motion, Plaintiff requests that the Court issue a temporary restraining order and enjoin an eviction currently scheduled for May 21, 2009.

II. ANALYSIS

Federal district courts lack jurisdiction to directly review the judgments of state courts. *See District of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman*, 460 U.S. 462 (1983); *Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co.*, 263 U.S. 413 (1923). Courts have consistently applied the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine to claims

requesting review of a state court's eviction and foreclosure proceedings. See, e.g., Austin v.

Countrywide Home Loans, No. 08-15127, 2008 WL 4954617, at *1 (E.D. Mich. Nov. 18, 2008);

Berry v. Ocwen Loan Servs., LLC, No. 08-13760, 2008 WL 4648123, at *2 (E.D. Mich. Oct. 21,

2008); Jones v. Heartland Home Fin. Corp., No. 07-14398, 2008 WL 4561693, at *2 (E.D. Mich.

Oct. 10, 2008). Therefore, this Court does not enjoy jurisdiction to enjoin this eviction from

proceeding.

Plaintiff also includes a recitation of damages allegedly sustained under 18 U.S.C. §§ 241,

872, and 1001. These are federal criminal statutes, and they do not provide a private cause of action.

See Kafele v. Frank & Wooldridge Co., 108 Fed. Appx. 307 (6th Cir. 2004) (no private cause of

action under 18 U.S.C. § 241); Massey v. Bank of Edmondson County, 49 Fed. Appx. 604 (6th Cir.

2002) (no private cause of action under 18 U.S.C. § 1001); Gipson v. Callahan, 18 F. Supp. 2d 662

(W.D. Tex. 1997) (no private cause of action under 18 U.S.C. § 872). Thus, this relief is denied as

well.

III. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, and for the above reasons, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion

for injunctive relief and temporary restraining order [dkt 5], and all relief requested therein, is

DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/Lawrence P. Zatkoff

LAWRENCE P. ZATKOFF

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: May 20, 2009

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of this Order was served upon the attorneys of record by electronic or U.S. mail on May 20, 2009.

S/Marie E. Verlinde

Case Manager (810) 984-3290